

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,887	02/11/2002	Alexander E. Malison	ASI-101	3540
28970	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)		
	10/068,887	MALISON, ALE	MALISON, ALEXANDER E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2]  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of thi.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· · · · ·	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May	v17. 2006.			
	s action is non-final.	$dt_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}$		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs prosecution as to t	the merite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	ine ments is	
diodos in accordance was the practice and of	Ex pario Quayio, 1000 O.D.	11, 400 0.0. 210.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11-15,41,42<del>,4</del>7,54,58 and 61</u> is/are	e pending in the application.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5. 1. TWT - T. F. T.	F ARDIN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6) Claim(s) 1,11-15,41,42,47,54,58 and 61 is/are	e reiected.	,		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,11-15,41,42,47,54,58 and 61</u> is/are objected to.	e rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
	•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or election requirement.	Vithe Examiner	. Condito: Care	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: "a) accepted to the company of	or election requirement. er, cepted or b)⊡ objected to by	y the Examiner.	Journaling Law or	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanied and applicant may not request that any objection to the	er,	the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	er, cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s	y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) ) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanied and applicant may not request that any objection to the	er, cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s	y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) ) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corr	er.  cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached	y the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a considered in the Examina	er, cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the	er, cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the second	er. cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached on priority under 35 U.S.C.	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the Including the correction of the Including the correction of the Including Including the correction of the Including Includin	er.  cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached on priority under 35 U.S.C. §	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form	CFR 1.121(d).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and all including the correct and applicant of the Replacement of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant of the second of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document and are subjected to by the Examin shape and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the Including the	er.  cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyancetion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached on priority under 35 U.S.C. §	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to the correct of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certif	er.  cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached on priority under 35 U.S.C. § ts have been received in Appority documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this Nation	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the Application of the Correction of the Oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies	er.  cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s) xaminer. Note the attached on priority under 35 U.S.C. § ts have been received in Appority documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this Nation	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examination and are subjected to by the Examination and are subjected to by the Examination and are subjected to the subject of the correct and are subjected to by the Examination and are subjected to be subjected to the subject of the correct and are subjected to by the Examination and are subjected to be subjected to the correct and are subjected to be subjected to subject and subject of the priority document and subject of the priority document and subject of the priority document application from the International Bureautic are subjected to be subjected	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this Nation	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Endorship and All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this Nation	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orange are subject to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and are are also by the Examination and are are are are are are also are a	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f).  plication No eceived in this Nation	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/orange are subject to by the Examination and are subjected to by the Examination are	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f). plication No eceived in this Nation eceived.	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: "a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Eight and a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation from the Internation	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f). plication No eceived in this Nation eceived.	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152. al Stage	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: "a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the	er	y, the Examiner. e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 Office Action or form 1,19(a)-(d) or (f). plication No eceived in this Nation eceived.	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152. al Stage	

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed May 17, 2006.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 2-10, 16-40, 43-44, 48-57, 59-60, and 62-64 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 17, 2006. Thus claims 1, 11-15, 41-42, 54-47, 58 and 61 are currently pending in this case.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 17, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-64 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103. Applicant then we

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 11-15, 41-42, 54-47, 58 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montague et al (US 5,504,589).

and anti-with object to chime 464 have been consulted but are

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/068,887

Art Unit: 3621

## 7. Regarding claim 1 –

Montague discloses a user interface for receiving an order (e.g. abs, col 1 ln 40-45) comprising: (a) an input area adapted to receive handwritten input indicating an item to add to the order (e.g. col 1 ln 65-67); (b) a selection window adapted to display items corresponding to the handwritten input (e.g. fig. 5, col 5 ln 1-10); and (c) an order window adapted to display selected items, as the order (e.g. col 5 ln 5-10, col 6 ln 20-25).

- 8. Montague does not use the same terminology as the instant application, referring to an "input screen" rather than a "user interface". Such differences in terminology do not, however confer patentability, as it would be obvious to the practitioner of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Montague to the terminology in the instant application.
- 9. Regarding claim 11 -

Montague discloses a user interface of claim 1, wherein the input area is further adapted to receive a second handwritten input indicating a modifier associated with a selected item, wherein the selection window is further adapted to display modifiers corresponding to the second handwritten input, and wherein the order window is further adapted to display selected modifiers in the order (e.g. fig. 5 col 8 ln 32-39).

10. Regarding claim 12 the same remine by as the instant application referring Montague discloses a user interface of claim 11, wherein the selection window is further adapted to receive a selection of a modifier from the displayed modifiers, wherein the selection is a touch of the modifier listed in the displayed modifiers (e.g. col 4 In 6-9, col 8 In 32-39).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,887 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

## 11. Regarding claim 13 -

Montague discloses a user interface of claim 11, wherein the input area is adapted to recognize an abbreviation of the modifier as the second handwritten input (e.g. col 5 ln 1-10).

### 12. Regarding claim 14 -

Montague discloses a user interface of claim 111, wherein the user interface further comprises a toggle button adapted to configure the input area to receive a handwritten input as one of an item and a modifier. (e.g. col 6 ln 3-10, where F2 is used as a toggle button).

## 13. Regarding claim 15 –

Montague does not specifically discloses a user interface of claim 11, wherein the user interface is adapted to require a user to choose a modifier after the user interface receives a selected item, however the various adaptations referenced in Montague make this a possible embodiment, see, e.g. col 4 ln 1-9, col 4 ln 49-61, col 5 ln 1-10, fig. 5, etc.).

- 14. Claims 41-42, 45-47, 58, and 61 are rejected under the same criteria as above.
- 16. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

  Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part

modernmit, see, e.g. delining they decid in the 31, on the modern

an apara i tan maga i manan naga maga nagarawan aga

Application/Control Number: 10/068,887

Art Unit: 3621

of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### **Conclusion**

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

COS, 07/24/06

RIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5